Kerala Gazette No. 37 dated 16th September 1980.
PART I A

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Election Department

NOTIFICATION

No. 7166/EL3/80/Elec. *Dated, Trivandrum, 2nd August* 1980.

Following orders Nos. KL-HP/20/80 (6), KL-HP/20/80 (7) dated 8-7-1980, KL-LA/11/80 (7) dated 5-7-1980, KL-LA/9/80 (8) dated 7-7-1980 and KL-LA/120/80 (9), KL-LA/120/80 (17) dated 7-7-1980 of the Election Commission of India are hereby published.

By order,

J. S. Jesudhasan,
Special Secretary (Home) &
Chief Electoral Officer.

ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA

Ashok Road,
New Delhi-110001.

ORDER

No. KL-HP/20/80 (6). *Dated, 8th July* 1980/
Ashadha 17, 1902 (*Saka*).

Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri V. Hareendran Nair, Advocate, Thaliyal, Karamana P. O., Trivandrum (Kerala), a contesting candidate for general election to the House of the People held in January, 1980 from 20-Trivandrum Constituency, has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses in the manner as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder;

And whereas the said candidate, even after the notice, has not given any reason or explanation for the failure and the Election Commission is satisfied that he has no good reason or justification for the failure;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri V. Hareendran Nair to be disqualified for being chosen as, and for being a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this order.

By order,
(Sd.)
DHARAM VIR,
Under Secretary to the Election Commission of India

ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA

Ashok Road,
New Delhi-110001.

ORDER

No. KL-HP/20/80 (7). *Dated, 8th July,* 1980/ *Ashadha* 17, 1902 (Saka).

Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri Y. Alphonse, Advocate, T. C. 39/180, Sreevaraham, Trivandrum (Kerala), a contesting candidate for general election to the House of the People held in January, 1980 from 20-Trivandrum Constituency, has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses at all as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951 and the Rules made thereunder;

And whereas the said candidate, even after the notice, has not given any reason or explanation for the failure and the Election Commission is satisfied that he has no good reason or justification for the failure ;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10 A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri Y. Alphonse to be disqualified for being chosen as, and for being a member of either House of Parliament for of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this order.

By order,
(Sd.)
DHARAM VIR,
Under Secretary to the Election Commission of India.

ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA

Ashok Road,
New Delhi-110001.

ORDER

No. KL-LA/11/80 (7). *Dated*, *5th July*, 1980/ *Ashadha* 14, 1902 (*Saka*).

Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri K. Damodaran, Irinar, Near Irinar U. P. School, Post Irinar (Kerala), a contesting candidate for general election to the Kerala Legislative Assembly held in January, 1980 from 11-Edakkad Constituency, has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses at all as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder :

And whereas the said candidate, even after the notice, has not given any reason or explanation for the failure and the Election Commission is satisfied that he has no good reason or justification for the failure;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10 A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri K. Damodaran to be disqualified for being chosen as, and for being a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this order.

By order,
(Sd.)
DHARAM VIR,
Under Secretary to the
Election Commission of India.

ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA

Ashok Road,
New Delhi-110001.

ORDER

No. KL-LA/9/80 (8). *Dated*, *7th July*, 1980/ *Ashadha* 16, 1902 (*Saka*).

Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri B. Kunhamu, Post Mattool North, Cannanore, Cannanore District (Kerala), a contesting candidate for general election to the Kerala Legislative Assembly held in January 1980 from 9-Azhikode Constituency, has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses at all as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder;

And whereas the said candidate, even after the notice, has not given any reason or explanation for the failure and the Election Commission is satisfied that he has no good reason or justification for the failure ;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri B. Kunhamu to be disqualified for being chosen as, and for being a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this order.

By order,

(Sd.)

DHARAM VIR,

Under Secretary to the Election Commission of India.

ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA

Ashok Road,
New Delhi-110001.

ORDER

Dated, 7th July 1980/
Ashadha 16, 1902(Saka).

No. KL-LA/120/80(9).

Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri Sasthamcottah Ramachandran, Thazhekattu Thekkethil Panapetti, Poruvazhy (Kerala), a contesting candidate for general election to the Kerala Legislative Assembly held in January, 1980 from 120-Kunnathur (SC) Constituency, has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses at all as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder ;

And whereas the said candidate, even after the notice, has not given any reason or explanation for the failure and the Election Commission is satisfied that he has no good reason or justification for the failure ;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri Sasthamcottah Ramachandran to be disqualified for being chosen as, and for being a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this order.

By order,

(Sd.)

DHARAM VIR,

Under Secretary to the Election Commission of India.

ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA

Ashok Road,
New Delhi-110001.

ORDER

Dated, 7th July, 1980/
Ashadha 16, 1902 (Saka).

No. KL-LA/120/80(17).

Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri K.S. Chellappan, Narangavila Veedu, Narickal P.O., Punalur (Kerala), a contesting candidate for general election to the Kerala Legislative Assembly held in January, 1980 from 120-Kunnathur (SC) Constituency, has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses at all as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder;

And whereas the said candidate, even after the notice, has not given any reason or explanation for the failure and the Election Commission is satisfied that he has no good reason or justification for the failure;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10 A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri K. S. Chellappan to be disqualified for being chosen as, and for being a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this order.

By order,
(Sd.)
DHARAM VIR,
Under Secretary to the
Election Commission of India.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Election Department

NOTIFICATION

No. 7375/EL1/80/Elec. *Dated, Trivandrum 14th August* 1980.

Notification No. 82/KL-HP/3/80 dated 1st August 1980 of the Election Commission of India is hereby published.

(By order)
J. S. Jesudhasan,
Special Secretary (Home) &
Chief Electoral Officer.

In the High Court of Kerala at Ernakulam

(Wednesday, the 9th July, 1980/18th Ashadha, 1902.)

Present :

The Honourable Mr. Justice George Vadakkel

ELECTION PETITION No. 3 OF 1980.

Petitioner :

Varkey Varkey, Thottungathara, Kadavanthara, Ernakulam, Cochin-20

By Advocates M/s M. M. Cheriyan, M. V. Joseph,
Mathew Zacharia, V. Jayaprasad,
Jolly T. Kuriakose, Razeya K. K., Rani K. K.,
George Jacob, K. M. Chacko, P. F Francis,
K. K. Balan, D. Peethambaran,
A. K. Chinnan, K. K. Abraham,
S. Ramachandran, A. B. Jaleel,
George Oommen, Chandralekha, V. R. Lekha.

Respondent :

Xavier Arakkal, St. Benedict Road, Cochin-18.

By Advocates M/s S. Narayanan Potti,
P. V. Madhavan Nambiyar,
C. S. Balagangadharan,
Pulikool Aboobacker.

This Election Petition having been finally heard on 27-6-1980 and having stood over to this day for consideration, the Court on the same day delivered the following:—

JUDGMENT

This is an elector's petition to declare the election of the returned candidate, the respondent herein, to the 7th Lok Sabha from No. 11—Ernakulam Parliamentary Constituency as void under section 100 (1) (d) of the Representation of People Act, 1951, (hereinafter, the Act). The substantial case of the petitioner is that at the election held on 6-1-1980 there has been improper refusal or rejection of votes on a large scale and this has materially affected the election result, in so far as it concerns the respondent. He relies on Section 100 (1) (d) (iii) of the Act. According to him a large number of electors have been denied the right to vote. The petitioner estimates the number of electors who have been so denied the right to vote as 7000.

2. The respondent denies the allegations made by the petitioner. According to him no voter who is entitled to vote has been denied the right to vote.

3. The following issues have been raised in this case after discussion with the learned counsel on both sides:

"1. Have the names of actual voters been scored off in the voters' lists in the hands of presiding officers thereby denying them the right to vote?

2. Have the persons mentioned in paragraph 7 of the petition been unlawfully denied their right to vote?

3. Have the names of large numbers of voters been struck off from the Electoral Rolls arbitrarily and whimsically in violation of the Registration of Electors Rules 1960, If so, does it constitute a ground to set aside the election of the respondent?

4. Has the election of the Respondent been materially affected by any of the foregoing reasons?

5. What is the order as to costs?".

Issues Nos. 1 and 4.

4. The District Electoral Officer (the District Collector, Ernakulam) examined in this case as P.W. 14 has pursuant to the summons issued in that behalf, caused the production of the electoral rolls used and returned by the Presiding Officers of the several polling stations in 11 Ernakulam Parliamentary Constituency. These documents have been marked as Exts. A to A 189. The learned counsel for the petitioner has, after verifying the electoral rolls so produced, filed a statement dated 24-6-1980 on 25-6-1980

with reference to the 'Statement showing Serial Numbers of Voters illegally struck off from the Electoral Rolls' signed by the petitioner and his learned counsel and appended to that statement, that statement says as follows:—

> "It is noticed that names of 1296 voters whose names does not appear in the list of deletion, were struck off from the electoral rolls".

5. The argument is that the names of 1296 electors have been improperly scored off in the electoral rolls used by the Presiding Officers. It is common case that the electoral rolls were prepared in 1975 in this State, and that on the basis of enumeration that took place in 1979, these electoral rolls prepared in 1975 were amended by appending to each such electoral roll a list of additions and a list of deletions. It appears that in some of the copies of the electoral rolls with the lists of additions and deletions, the deleted names were, for the same of convenience, scored off in the main list of 1975. The case of the petitioner is that the names of 1296 electors that occur in the main list of 1975 and have not been included in the concerned list of deletions, have been struck off from the main electoral rolls used by the Presiding Officers and the suggestion is that they have been denied the right of vote.

6. The learned counsel for the respondent has no objection to marking the statement filed by the learned counsel for the petitioner nor in receiving it in evidence. I am marking the same for reference as Ext. A191. In the light of this statement I find the 1st part of Issue No. 1 in favour of the petitioner and hold that the names of 1296 not included in the lists of deletions have been scored off in the voters lists with the Presiding Officers.

7. However, it does not follow that these 1296 persons have been denied the right to give their votes for there is no material to hold that they sought to give their votes and/or that they were denied such right. P.Ws. 2 to 4 and PWs. 9 to 11 have given evidence that they went to the concerned polling station to give his or her vote and that they were not allowed to vote, but it has been established that they are amongst the 1296 persons mentioned in Ext. A191. Nor is the evidence of the polling agents of Dr. Henry Austin who obtained the next highest number of votes after the returned candidate, the respondent, examined as P.Ws. 5 to 7 and P.W. 12 and P.W. 13 of any assistance in this regard. Consequently I find the 2nd part of issue No. 1 against the petitioner.

8. Six candidates contested from the Ernakulam Parliamentary Constituency. The respondent got 1,89,225 votes; Dr. Henry Austine got 1,86,723 votes; others obtained only such less votes than Dr. Henry Austin. The difference between the number of votes obtained by the respondent and that given in favour of Dr. Henry Austin is 2502. Even assuming (without deciding) that all the 1296 persons mentioned in Ext. A191 sought to exercise their franchise, and that too, in favour of Dr. Henry Austin, still the respondent would have a majority of 1206 votes. Therefore, it cannot be said that the result of the election in so far as it concerns the returned candidate, (the respondent herein) has been materially affected by improper refusal or

rejection of any vote. I find issue No. 4 in favour of the respondent and against the petitioner.

9. The learned counsel for the respondent rightly contended that this Court is not entitled to make the assumptions as mentioned in the preceding paragraph. As pointed out by the Supreme Court in *S. N. Balakrishna V. Fernandez* (A.I.R. 1969 S.C. 1201) (at 1205) this 'matter cannot be considered on possibility' and in cases like this 'there is no room for a reasonable judicial guess'. And, applying the rule laid down by that Court in *Vashist Narain* v. *Dev Chandra* (A.I.R. 1954 S.C. 513) it is necessary for the petitioner to prove by cogent evidence that all these 1296 persons would have voted and that they would have voted against the respondent and in favour of one or the other of the other five candidates.

Issue No. 2.

10. There is no evidence in this case which would establish that the persons mentioned in paragraph 7 of the petition have been unlawfully denied their right to vote. I find this issue against the petitioner and in favour of the respondent.

Issue No. 3.

11. It has not been established that the names of large number of voters have been struck off from the Electoral Rolls in violation of the Registration of Electors Rules 1960, be it arbitrarily and whimsically or not. I find the 1st part of this issue against the petitioner and in favour of the respondent. In that view the second part of this issue does not call for any answer.

Issue No. 5.

12. The petitioner is not entitled to any relief. I dismiss this petition. The petitioner shall pay the respondent's costs which I fix as Rs. 1500.

The Registrar shall intimate the substance of this decision to the Election Commission and the Speaker of the House of the People, forthwith and shall send to the Election Commission an authenticated copy of this decision, as soon as possible.

Sd.

9th July, 1980. *George Vadakkel,*
Judge.

Kerala Gazette No. 37 dated 16th September 1980.
PART IA

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Election Department

NOTIFICATION

No. 7272/EL3/80/Elec. *Dated, Trivandrum, 8th August* 1980.

Following orders Nos. KL-LA/11/80 (10) dated 11-7-1980 and KL-LA/92/80(3) dated 4-7-1980 of the Election Commission of India are hereby published.

By order,

J. S. JESUDHASAN,
Special Secretary (Home) and Chief Electoral Officer.

ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA

Ashok Road,
New Delhi-110001.

ORDER

Dated 11th July 1980
Ashadha 20,1902 (Saka).

No. KL-LA/11/80(10).

Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri K.V. Koran, Reshmi Nivas, Chakkarakkal, P.O. Mowancherry (Kerala), a contesting candidate for general election to the Kerala Legislative Assembly held in January, 1980 from 11–Edakkad Constituency, has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses at all as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder;

And whereas the said candidate, even after the notice, has not given any reason or explanation for the failure and the Election Commission is satisfied that he has no good reason or justification for the failure;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri K.V. Koran to be disqualified for being chosen as, and for being, a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this order.

By order,

(Sd.)

DHARAM VIR,

Under Secretary to the Election Commission of India.

ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA

Ashok Road,
New Delhi-110001

ORDER

Dated, 4th July, 1980/
Ashadha 13, 1902 (Saka).

No. KL-LA/92/80(3).

Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri Joseph Antony, Kollamparampil, Padua P.O., Ayarkunnam, (Kerala), a contesting candidate for general election to the Kerala Legislative Assembly held in January, 1980 from 92-Puthuppally Constituency, has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses at all as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder;

And whereas, the said candidate, even after the notice, has not given any reason or explanation for the failure and the Election Commission is satisfied that he has no good reason or justification for the failure;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri Joseph Antony to be disqualified for being chosen as, and for being a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this order.

By order,

(Sd.)

DHARAM VIR,

Under Secretary to the Election Commission of India.

© Government of Kerala
1980

Reg. No. KL/TV(N)/12

KERALA GAZETTE

EXTRAORDINARY

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

Vol. XXV] Trivandrum, Tuesday, 16th Septrmber 1980 / 25th Bhadra 1902 (Saka) [No. 650

DECLARATIONS

(1)

No. L. Dis-23710/80/LRC. *2nd July* 1980.

Whereas the Board of Rrvenue is satisfied aftrr considering the report made by the Collector under subsection (2) of sectioo 5 of the Kerala Laud Acquisition Act, 1961 (Act 21 of 1962) that the lands specified in the schedule below has to be acquired for a public purpose the Board of Revenue hrreby declares under section 6 of the Act that the land spec.fied in the schedule below and measuring 1.5257 heetarr be the samr a little more or less is needed for a public purpose to wit for implemeutation of Koleucherry Housiug Accommodation Schemr and under section 7 of the Act, directs the Special Tahsildar (L.A.), Kerala State Housing Board, Ernakulam to take order forthe acquisition of the land.

A plan of the lands is kept in the office of thr Special Tahsilder (L.A.), Kerala State Housing Board, Ernakulam and may be inspected at any time ducing office hours.

1961-ലെ കേരള സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് ആക്റ്റ് (1962-ലെ 21-ാം ആക്റ്റ്) 5-ാം വകുപ്പ് (2)-ാം ഉപവകുപ്പു പ്രകാരം കളക്ടർ സമർപ്പിച്ച റിപ്പോർട്ടു പരിഗണിച്ചതിൽ താഴെ കൊടുത്തിരിക്കുന്ന പട്ടികയിൽ വിവരിച്ചിട്ടുള്ള ഭൂമി ഒരു പൊതുകാര്യത്തിന് വിലയ്ക്കെടുക്കേണ്ടതാണെന്ന് റവന്യൂ ബോർഡിന് ബോദ്ധ്യപ്പെട്ടിരിക്കയാൽ, റവന്യൂ ബോർഡ് താഴെ പട്ടികയിൽ പറയുന്നതും 1.5257 ഹെക്ടർ വിസ്തീർണ്ണമുള്ളതുമായ ഭൂമി, അത് അത്ര തന്നെയോ അതിൽ അല്പം കൂടുതലോ കുറവോ ആയിരുന്നാലും വേണ്ടതില്ല, ഒരു പൊതുകാര്യത്തിന്, അതായത് കോലഞ്ചേരി ഭവന നിർമ്മാണ പദ്ധതി നടപ്പാക്കുന്നതിന് ആവശ്യമുണ്ടെന്ന് ആക്റ്റ് 6-ാം വകുപ്പു പ്രകാരം ഇതിനാൽ പ്രഖ്യാപിക്കുകയും, ആ ഭൂമി വിലയ്ക്കെടുക്കുന്നതിനുള്ള ഉത്തരവ് സ്വീകരിപ്പാൻ ആക്റ്റ് 7-ാം വകുപ്പു പ്രകാരം കേരള സ്റ്റേറ്റ് ഹൗസിംഗ് ബോർഡ്, എറണാകുളം, ലാൻഡ് അക്വിസിഷൻ സ്പെഷ്യൽ തഹസിൽദാർ എന്ന ആളോട് നിർദ്ദേശിക്കുകയും ചെയ്യുന്നു.

സ്ഥലത്തിന്റെ പ്ലാൻ കേരള സ്റ്റേറ്റ് ഹൗസിംഗ് ബോർഡ് എറണാകുളം, ലാൻഡ് അക്വിസിഷൻ സ്പെഷ്യൽ തഹസിൽദാർ എന്ന ആളുടെ ആഫീസിൽ സൂക്ഷിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്. ആഫീസ് സമയത്ത് എപ്പോഴെങ്കിലും അത് പരിശോധിക്കുകയും ചെയ്യാം.

SCHEDULE

District—Eruakulam.

Taluk—Kunnathunad. Village—Aikaranad North.

Sy. No.—542/1.
Description—Dry.
Extent—1.5257 hectare

(2)

No. K. Dis-24786/80. *7th July* 1980.

Whereas the Board of Reveoue is satisfied aftrr coosidering thr report made by the Collector under subsectioo (2) of section 5 of thr Kerala Land Acquisition Act, 1961 (Act 21 of 1962), that the lands specified in the schedule below havr to be acquired for a public purpose thr Board of Revenue hereby declares uoder sectiou 6 of thr Act that the lands specified in the schedule below and measuring 2.6886 Hectare be the samr a little more or less are ueeded for a public purpose to wit for implemrnting Housing Accommodation Schrme at Irumpanam and undrr section 7 of the Act, directs the Special Tahsildar, (L. A.), Kerala State Housing Board, Ernakulam to take ordrr for the acquisition of the laods.

A plan of the lands is krpt in the office of the Special Tahsildar, (L. A.) Cochin Corporation, Ernakulam and may be inspected at any time duriog office hours.

1961-ലെ കേരള സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് ആക്റ്റ് (1962-ലെ 21-ാം ആക്റ്റ്) 5-ാം വകുപ്പ് (2)-ാം ഉപവകുപ്പു പ്രകാരം കളക്ടർ സമർപ്പിച്ച റിപ്പോർട്ട് പരിഗണിച്ചതിൽ താഴെ കൊടുത്തിരിക്കുന്ന പട്ടികയിൽ വിവരിച്ചിട്ടുള്ള ഭൂമി ഒരു പൊതുകാര്യത്തിന് വിലയ്ക്കെടുക്കേണ്ടതാണെന്ന് റവന്യൂ ബോർഡിന് ബോദ്ധ്യപ്പെട്ടിരിക്കയാൽ റവന്യൂ ബോർഡ് താഴെ പട്ടികയിൽ പറയുന്നതും 2.6886 ഹെക്ടർ വിസ്തീർണ്ണമുള്ളതുമായ ഭൂമി, അത് അത്ര തന്നെയോ അതിൽ അല്പം കൂടുതലോ കുറവോ ആയിരുന്നാലും വേണ്ടതില്ല, ഒരു പൊതുകാര്യത്തിന്, അതായത് തിരുവാങ്കുളം ഭവനനിർമ്മാണ പദ്ധതി നടപ്പാക്കുന്നതിന് ആവശ്യമുണ്ടെന്ന് ആക്റ്റ് 6-ാം വകുപ്പു പ്രകാരം ഇതിനാൽ പ്രഖ്യാപിക്കുകയും, ആ ഭൂമി വിലയ്ക്കെടുക്കുന്നതിനുള്ള ഉത്തരവ് സ്വീകരിപ്പാൻ ആക്റ്റ് 7-ാം വകുപ്പു പ്രകാരം കേരള സ്റ്റേറ്റ് ഹൗസിംഗ് ബോർഡ് എറണാകുളം ലാൻഡ് അക്വിസിഷൻ സ്പെഷ്യൽ തഹസിൽദാർ എന്ന ആളോട് നിർദ്ദേശിക്കുകയും ചെയ്യുന്നു.

സ്ഥലത്തിന്റെ പ്ലാൻ കേരളാസ്റ്റേറ്റ് ഹൗസിംഗ് ബോർഡ് എറണാകുളം ലാൻഡ് അക്വിസിഷൻ സ്പെഷ്യൽ തഹസിൽദാർ എന്ന ആളുടെ ആഫീസിൽ സൂക്ഷിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്. ആഫീസ് സമയത്ത് എപ്പോഴെങ്കിലും അത് പരിശോധിക്കുകയും ചെയ്യാം.

SCHEDULE

District—Ernakulam.

Taluk—Kanayannur Village—Thiruvamkulam.

Sl.No.	Sy. No.	Description	Extent in Hectare
1	277/4	Wet	0.0900
	5	"	0.4013
2	342/1	Dry	0.3237
3	343/1	Wet	0.5909
	2	Dry	0.1214
	3	Wet	0.2144
4	344/2	"	0.0121
	3	"	0.2711
5	345/1	"	0.2085
	2	"	0.0405
	3	"	0.0607
	4	"	0.0950
6	346	Dry	0.2266
7	357/3	"	6.0324
		Total	2.6886

(3)

No. K. Dis-29443/80. *16th August* 1980.

Whereas the Board of Revenue is satisfied after considering the report made by the Collector under subsection (2) of section 5 of the Kerala Land Acquisition Act, 1961 (Act 21 of 1962) that the lands specified in the Schedule below have to be acquired for a public purpose, the Board of Revenue hereby declares under section 6 of the Act that the lands specified in the Schedule below and measuring 1.4616 hectare be the same a little more or less, are needed for a public purpose to wit for implementing Housing Accommodation Scheme and under section 7 of the Act, directs the Special Tahsildar, (L. A.), Kerala State Housing Board Ernakulam to take order for the acquisition of the lands.

A plan of the lands is kept in the office of the Special Tahsildar, (LA) Kerala State Housing Board, Ernakulam and may be inspected at any time during office hours.

1961-ലെ കേരള സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് ആക്റ്റ് (1962-ലെ 21-ാം ആക്റ്റ്) 5-ാം വകുപ്പ് (2)-ാം ഉപവകുപ്പുപ്രകാരം കളക്ടർ സമർപ്പിച്ച റിപ്പോർട്ട് പരിഗണിച്ചതിൽ താഴെ കൊടുത്തിരിക്കുന്ന പട്ടികയിൽ വിവരിച്ചിട്ടുള്ള ഭൂമി ഒരു പൊതുകാര്യത്തിന് പൊന്നുംവിലയ്ക്കെടുക്കേണ്ടതാണെന്ന് റവന്യൂ ബോർഡിന് ബോദ്ധ്യപ്പെട്ടിരിക്കയാൽ, റവന്യൂ ബോർഡ് താഴെ പട്ടികയിൽ പറയുന്നതും 1.4616 ഹെക്ടർ വിസ്തീർണ്ണമുള്ളതുമായ ഭൂമി, അത് അത്രതന്നെയോ അതിൽ അല്പം കൂടുതലോ കുറവോ ആയിരുന്നാലും വേണ്ടതില്ല, ഒരു പൊതുകാര്യത്തിന്, അതായത് എസ്. റ്റി. പി. ജംഗ്ഷൻ ഭവനനിർമ്മാണ പദ്ധതി നടപ്പിലാക്കുന്നതിന് ആവശ്യമുണ്ടെന്ന് ആക്റ്റ് 6-ാം വകുപ്പുപ്രകാരം ഇതിനാൽ പ്രഖ്യാപിക്കുകയും ആ ഭൂമി വിലയ്ക്കെടുക്കുന്നതിനുള്ള ഉത്തരവ് സ്വീകരിപ്പാൻ ആക്റ്റ് 7-ാം വകുപ്പുപ്രകാരം കേരള സ്റ്റേറ്റ് ഹൗസിംഗ് ബോർഡ് എറണാകുളം ലാൻഡ് അക്വിസിഷൻ സ്പെഷ്യൽ തഹസിൽദാർ എന്ന ആളോട് നിർദ്ദേശിക്കുകയും ചെയ്യുന്നു.

സ്ഥലത്തിന്റെ പ്ലാൻ കേരളാസ്റ്റേറ്റ് ഹൗസിംഗ് ബോർഡ് എറണാകുളം ലാൻഡ് അക്വിസിഷൻ സ്പെഷ്യൽ തഹസിൽദാർ എന്ന ആളുടെ ആഫീസിൽ സൂക്ഷിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്. ആഫീസ് സമയത്ത് എപ്പോഴെങ്കിലും അത് പരിശോധിക്കുകയും ചെയ്യാം.

SCHEDULE

District—Ernakulam.

Taluk—Kanayannur. Village—Elamkulam.

(The extent given is approximate)

Sl.No.	Sy.No.	Description	Extent in Hectare
1	477/1	Wet	1.1793
2	2	"	0.0627
3	3	"	0.0141
4	508/2	Dry	0.1350
5	12	"	0.0300
6	13	"	0.0405
		Total	1.4616

(Sd.)

Secretary,
Board of Revenue.(L.R.)

Trivandrum.

PRINTED AND PUBLISHED BY THE S. G. P. AT THE GOVERNMENT PRESS,
TRIVANDRUM, 1980.